UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,883	03/29/2004	Hideki Koyama	2018-869	1086
23117 NIXON & VA	7590 06/07/2007 NDERHYE, PC		EXAMINER BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN	
901 NORTH C	LEBE ROAD, 11TH FLO	OOR		
ARLINGTON,	, VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			Q\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Office Action Commence	10/810,883	KOYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter J. Bertheaud	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  "HS from the mailing date of this communication and the mailing date of the mailing date	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 March 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			s
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subj</li></ul>	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>	ents have been received.  ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04, 1/19/07, 4/2/07.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application _	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Regarding the following prior art rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102: The effective filing date of the present application is 3/29/2004 with priority back to 3/28/2003. The application has not been accorded to the effective filing date of 3/28/2003, but would if the foreign priority was perfected by filing a certified translation. If perfected, the prior art reference would still be applied as a 102(e) reference.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the limitation "round corner" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 3746

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b/e) as being anticipated by Fischer 6,402,460.

Fischer discloses a fuel pump assembly comprising a suction-side cover 34 having a fuel inlet, an exhaust-side cover 18 having a fuel outlet 20, an electric motor 30 disposed between said suction-side cover 34 and said exhaust-side cover 20, a pump casing 36 disposed between said electric motor 30 and said suction-side cover 34, a passage member having a pressure boosting passage 40, 42 disposed between said suction-side cover 34 and said pump casing 36, an impeller 28 disposed in the pressure boosting passage to be rotated by said electric motor 30, and a cylindrical housing 38 for accommodating said suction-side cover 34, said pump casing 36 and said impeller 28, wherein said suction-side cover 34 comprises a resinous member (see col. 3, lines 24-32) that has a shoulder (see rounded portion of 34 at bottom of Fig. 1) having a round surface in contact with a portion of said cylindrical housing 38 that is clinched at said shoulder. Fischer further discloses that the round surface is disposed at a peripheral surface of said suction-side cover 34 away from said pump casing 36.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer 6,402,460.

Fischer discloses the general conditions of the claimed invention except for the round surface having a radius of 2mm or longer, or the shoulder having a thickness between 4mm and 5mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the shoulder within these parameters, since the claimed values are merely an optimum or workable range. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 2144.05 II. A - Optimization Within Prior Art Conditions or Through Routine Experimentation). Furthermore, Fischer teaches that the portion of said cylindrical housing that is clinched has a surface that could be formed by a punch that has a concave pressing surface.

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Bertheaud whose telephone number is (571) 272-3476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,883

Art Unit: 3746

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PJB

6/4/07

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700